Dr. Gary Aguilar
909 Hyde St.,#530
San Francisco, CA 94109

4/6/01

Dear Gary,

Thanks very much for your 3/28 and the generous enclosures.

But you did not answer my letter. Nor have I heard from Mantik or Fetzer. Who I think is a strange man.

On your offer of a collection of records, by subject, I'll be more than happy to get any of that, particularly after ARRB, because I've been able to do little but write for several years and because when my wife and I were hospitalized and then in a nursing home, a couple of Medicare frauds that were very hurtful, I was robbed several times. Except for an antique brass candlestick, I'm not aware of anything being taken other than files. There is no doubt at all that some was stolen for Lifton by a Baltimore cop , who worked first for Livingstone and then also for Lifton. So, with the agreement years old, I asked Hood College, which will get everything, to please come and get what was in the basement. It took two trips for an interstate movong van. Included was what I called my "subject" file. To the degree possible for me as I read the FBI records I made duplicate copies that I filed by name or subject. The kind of docs. you sent file ideally in that. If you do, please staple to it that you are the source so the archive will reflect that.

It had not been safe for me to go down to the basement since 1992 so I have no idea how much was stolen from down there where those I let go over those recordd and make copies actually stole them instead of making copies. Same with a special file on my desk of what I thin thought would be of general interest. All sort of people with a wide variety of interest have used those files. Most are those with whom I did not agree.

I'm leaving it up to Hood to make a formal announcement because they have to try and fundation support to be able to have an archivist see to it that there is no more thievery, among other things

For my age and the many medical problems I've had I'm lucky to still be here.I'll be 88 Sunday. But in recent years, particularly the last 4 or 5, when I had no access to the vast store of documents

in the basement I had to figure out a way I could still work. This was in part because when I decided to go head to head with the FBI in an effort to end it perjury, it blinked and got away with the irrelevant, that I could make that charge "ad infinitim" because I know more about the JFK assassination than anyone working for the FBI. I had to use that knowledge as long as possible. The other reason, as several of my many doctors have told me, my work is my best medicine.

With the JAMA atrocity, which was the basis for a book, I saw that I could be of use by making a record for histpry. Rather improving the record for history. I am doing that in rough drsfts of book, now well over 20 of them.

I am working on the Fetzer second book now, but thanks for the second copy that will be in the archive. Mine is all marked up. I am of limited mobility and have to keep my legs elevated when I'm not walking so making notes is not always convenient. So I use a highlighter as I read.

I know that you (pl) are saying that the WC was not honest and took liberties with the evidence. If that is all the book aims to do that answers my question. But I wondered if you (pl). were aiming at more. I wanted youpleple to tell me so I'd have it correct.

I'm sorry that you took what was intended as constructive criticism as insults. I'm also sorry that you did not take some of it seriously, particularly what prompted you and Mantik to fall silent. There is much that is not generally known that is vital to understanding. And to saving precious time.

Thanks and best wishes,

Harold

Harold Weisberg

by the way, those notes at the bottom of pages on those Dallas FBI records are generally indication of a tickler. Ask Tilley if any were included. All should have been and they have many. But not generally in the general file. Often in desks. Some I've gotten were pretty interesting.